pph -



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/583,452	05/30/2000	Daniel R. Zaharris	M-8376-US	1693	
75	90 01/15/2004	EXAMINER			
Theodore P. Le		NOBAHAR, ABDULHAKIM			
MACPHERSON 2001 Gateway P	N KWOK CHEN & HEI Place	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 195E		2132	10-		
San Jose, CA	95110		DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- PRG				
*	•		ition No.	Applicant(s)	•				
Office Action Summan		09/583	452	ZAHARRIS ET AL	•				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
			kim Nobahar	2132					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commure period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a re tatutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MON' pplication to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b))⊠ This action is	non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 22-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 									
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	` '		_						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s formal Patent Application (PTC					

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I. Claims 1-21 are drawn to "a method of decrypting data stored on a storage medium and encrypting data for storing on the storage medium using multiple encryption/decryption keys generated in the medium storage engine", classified in class 713, subclass 193.
- Group II. Claims 22-25 are drawn to "a method of decrypting data stored on a storage medium utilizing a file system structure and a file pointer", classified in class 713, subclass 165.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as

usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each
other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group I is
separately usable "for decryption and encryption of data stored on a storage medium
and generating additional keys in the storage engine" and invention Group II is
separately usable "for decrypting data having file system structure with a file pointer

stored on a medium and transferring the data to a designated location". See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Ted Lopez on December 16, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicants in replying to this Office action. Claims 22-25 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2132

- 2. Claims 10, 11, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Referring to claims 10 and 11, lines 2 and 3, there are no antecedent bases for "the plurality of medium keys" and for "the first portion of data". Appropriate corrections are necessary.
- 4. Referring to claim 14, line 2 contains acronym "ASIC". Prior description in the claim is necessary.
- 5. Referring to claim 16, this claim on line 4 refers to an unexplained acronym "ASIC". Appropriate correction is necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 2132

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 1. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 9, 14, 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Angelo et al. (5,932,754) (Angelo).
- 2. Referring to claim 1, Angelo discloses a method for decrypting protecting data recorded on a medium such as a DVD disk (col. 1, lines 57-67). Angelo also discloses the generation of a key every time a DVD system is powered on (col. 4, lines 7-8), a media key stored on the DVD disk is retrieved by the DVD drive and a combination key is generated (col. 4, lines 42-47). Angelo further discloses that the combination key is used to decrypt the data on the disk (col. 4, lines 65-67).
- 3. Referring to claims 2 and 5, Angelo discloses that the DVD controller of the DVD system decrypts the encrypted key, d_k, that corresponds to the recited master media key (col. 3, lines 13-22 and col. 4, lines 59-61). Angelo also discloses that a unique drive key corresponding to the medium key is calculated from the disk key corresponding to the recited master media key stored on the disk (col. 4, lines 5-7).
- 4. Referring to claim 3, Angelo discloses that the DVD system keys (internal key) are generated randomly (col. 2, lines 1-14 and col. 3, lines 1-12).
- 5. Referring to claims 8 and 9, Angelo discloses that a DVD disk may contain a variety of encrypted sections of information (i.e., different area with different data) each with its own particular key (col. 4, lines 50-56). In such a case a plurality of disk keys (additional keys) would be generated as stated in the case of claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2132

6. Referring to claims 14 and 20, Angelo discloses:

Generating a plurality of internal keys using a pseudo-random number generator (data storage engine). See col. 4, lines 7-8, col. 2, lines 1-14 and col. 3, lines 1-12.

Decrypting a master media key and a file system structure corresponding to a first portion of the data using at least one internal key. See col. 3, lines 13-22 and col. 4, lines 50-61.

Generating a plurality of medium keys from the master media key. See col. 4, lines 5-7.

Generating a plurality of combination keys from the plurality of medium keys and the plurality of internal keys. See col. 4, lines 42-56.

Decrypting a first portion of the data using a first combination key. See col. 4, lines 65-67.

Encrypting a portion of data using said first combination key and storing the portion on the storage medium. See col. 60-67, col. 2, lines 40-45 and col. 3, lines 48-63.

7. Referring to claims 16, 17 and 19, Angelo discloses that DVD disk may contain different encrypted data recorded in different area of the disk each section with its own associated key that is used for the encryption of data and the combination key for decryption (col. 2, lines 41-50 and col. 4, lines 50-56).

Application/Control Number: 09/583,452 Page 7

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 6, 7, 10-13, 15, 18 and 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angelo et al. (5,932,754) (Angelo) in view of Silverbrook et al. (6,334,190 B1) (Silverbrook).
- 3. Referring to claims 4, 7, 18 and 21, Angelo discloses that different data may be recorded on different area of a DVD disk and each portion of data encrypted and decrypted with particular keys using any type of cryptography technology (col. 4, lines 50-56). But Angelo does not expressly disclose the use of DES and triple DES for decryption and encryption. Silverbrook discloses the use of DES standard for encryption and decryption (col. 3, lines 64-67) and specifically the use of triple DES for more security (col. 4, lines 7-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize triple DES for encryption and decryption instead of single DES as taught in Silverbrook in the system of Angelo, because it would provide a much higher level of protection and security for the secure data (col. 1, lines 25-31).

Art Unit: 2132

4. Referring to claim 6, Angelo does not disclose the use of exclusive OR function to generate the combination key. Silverbrook teaches the use of exclusive OR function in the encryption process to provide a greater security (col. 4, lines 15-40).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the two encryption keys by using exclusive OR function as taught in Silverbrook in the method of Angelo, because it would provide a much higher level of protection and security for the secure data (col. 1, lines 25-31).

- 5. Referring to claims 10, 11 and 13, these claims are rejected as applied to the like elements of claims 1, 4, 6 and 9 as stated above.
- 6. Referring to claim 12, Angelo discloses any number of different encrypted data can be recorded on the DVD disk (col. 4, lines 50-560) and any cryptosystem type and encryption key can be applied to the recorded information (col. 3, lines 1-22).
- 7. Referring to claim 15, Angelo does not expressly disclose the use of a pseudo-random number generator comprising a logical feedback shift register (LFSR) and a seed for the LFSR. Silverbrook teaches the use of a pseudo-random number generator having LSFR (col. 11, line 60col. 12, line 15) to generate encryption keys. Silverbrook further teaches the use of a specific seed by the pseudo-random number generator (col. 4, line 7-col. 8, line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a LFSR pseudo-random number generator

Art Unit: 2132

that uses a seed value as taught in Silverbrook in the system of Angelo, because it

would provide a much higher level of protection for the secure data (col. 1, lines 25-31).

Page 9

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,796,824 to Hasebe et al.

U.S. Patent No. 6,581,162 B1 to Angelo et al.

U.S. Patent No. 6,370,250 B1 to Stein et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abdulhakim Nobahar whose telephone number is 703-

305-8074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 703-305-1830. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

Abdulhakim Nobahar Examiner

Art Unit 2132

Art Unit: 2132

January 12, 2004

Page 10

a.m.

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100